



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, DC 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
087840.316	04/11/97	EMERSON	2026-4250

HM21/0825

WILLIAM S. FEILER, ESQ.  
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.  
345 PARK AVENUE  
NEW YORK NY 10154

EXAMINER
ZERAN, M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1643	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
**08/840,316**

Applicant(s)  
**Emerson et al.**

Examiner  
**Mary K Zeman**

Group Art Unit  
**1643**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mary K Zeman

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Richard Bork (Appl Rep)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Aug 12, 1998

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4

Identification of prior art discussed:  
none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The language of the claims in how they refer to the sequences was discussed. The Examiner's interpretation of "consisting essentially of" as partially open with respect to sequences was discussed. Potential language to limit the claim and thus possibly overcome references of record was discussed, including the use of the terms "consisting of" and "having".

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

*[Handwritten signature: MZ 8/12/98]*

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.